| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 10/665,554 | BAUER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Theresa Trieu | 3748 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Theresa Trieu</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>James F. McKeown</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 20 April 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | licant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI (see Examiner's Amendment) | NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer | The examiner will provide a writ te record of the substance of the | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Everyings/CDE Cignetics) | ont/Annligant/a Danssaudet/ | Dimenture if anneantiate) |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | ant/Applicant's Representative S | ognature – ir appropriate) |